

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY "EXPRESS MAIL" (37 CFR 1.10)

Applicant(s): Jeffrey Bryson

Docket No.

704-P-1-USA

Application No.

09/609,231

Filing Date

June 30, 2000

Examiner

Fadok, Mark A.

Customer No.

Group Art Unit

3625


Invention: **COMPUTER AND HUMAN INTERACTIVE SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR NEGOTIATING
THE PURCHASE AND SALE OF GOODS AND SERVICES**JAN 18 2007
PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

I hereby certify that the following correspondence:

Interview Summary,

(Identify type of correspondence)

is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on

January 18, 2007*(Date)*Lynne J. Craig*(Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence)*
*(Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence)*EV 900411663 US*("Express Mail" Mailing Label Number)***Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing.**



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Jeffrey Bryson

Application No: 09/609,231

Filed: June 30, 2000

Title: COMPUTER AND HUMAN
INTERACTIVE SYSTEM AND
METHOD FOR NEGOTIATING
THE PURCHASE AND SALE
OF GOODS AND SERVICES

Examiner: Fadok, Mark A

Art Unit: 3625

Confirmation No. 2817

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

Sir:

A telephone interview between Examiner Mark Fadok and the undersigned David Duckworth took place on November 21, 2006. During the telephone interview, Applicant explained that the Examiner appeared to be misconstruing the prior art and Applicant's invention. Specifically, Applicant's Agent explained that the "primary sellers" of Applicant's invention refer to specific business sellers, and not simply human sales agents. Applicant explained that there did not need to be any need for an amendment to provide clarification because the fact that the sellers were individual businesses was defined in the Specification.

In response, the Examiner suggested that Applicant submit a response including an amendment to the Claims which would clarify that the sellers are businesses and not individuals. The Examiner did indicate that such an amendment may, or may not, require a detailed search.

Though this synopsis of the interview is different than the Examiner's, it is appreciated that the Examiner has indicated in his Interview Summary of December 20, 2006 that such an amendment would not be entered so as to not waste further time of the Applicant.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "David G. Duckworth". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "David" being the most prominent.

David G. Duckworth
Registration No. 39,516
Telephone: (949) 724-1255